

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,208	09/22/2001	Robert Joseph Statz	AD6597 US CIP	6480
23906 7:	590 11/20/2002			
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
	4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/960,208	STATZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Buttner	1712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
- Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.131 HS from the mailing date of this communication. By specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing of adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing as a 1220			
<u></u>	rive to communication (a) filed an					
·	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
'	Tables The detail is not find.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-13 and 19-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 14-18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) _ Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)∐ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a) accepte	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.			
	may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority documents I	nave been received.				
2.☐ Cert	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		,,				
3) M Information Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.6</u> .		PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 09/960,280

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 26 and 27 have been renumbered 24 and 25 in accordance with Rule 126.

The provisional applications lack the high neutralizations of the current claims and therefore do not receive the benefit of their filing dates.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the GB 2164342 Patent in view of Chen '321 or WO 98/46671.

The GB reference exemplifies blends of ethylene/acrylic acid copolymer, polyetheramide and neutralizing agent (table 2). All of the acid groups are neutralized (page 2, line 50). Metal stearate additives are not suggested. The blend is useful for cores of golf balls or as one piece balls (page 1, line 7).

Both Chen references teach metal stearate improve the ionomer cores of golf balls (see abstracts). It would have been obvious to add metal stearate to the GB '342 compositions for the expected advantages. Also note Chen (col. 6, lines 47-67 of '321; page 10, lines 15-30 of WO 98/46671) teaches fillers can be added to adjust the density. Determining appropriate amounts are within the skill of the artisan according to Chen.

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is not further limiting.

Claim 12's thermoplastic (a), filler (c) and ionomer (d) do not correspond to (a), (c) and (d) of claim 8.

Claim 20's 1-35% (c) is not agreement with claim 8.

Claims 6, 7 and 14-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

GB `342 appears to be directed solely to ethylene/acid copolymers. These lack the (meth) acrylate of claims 6, 7, 14, 15 and 18. GB `342 appears limited to solid rather than wound balls and also lacks dimpling on the core.

Morgan is supplied for its citation of USGA golf ball construction rules (col. 1).

Tanaka shows ionomers with thermoplastic elastomers, but lacks (b) and additional cation (d).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,280 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is (703) 308-2403. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DAVID J. BUTTNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dand Ratter

Buttner/sp/dh

November 15, 2002

Corrected

November 18, 2002